

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
8 AT SEATTLE

9 DAVID PALLIES,

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 THE BOEING COMPANY,

13 Defendant.
14

Case No. C16-1437RSL

ORDER FOR FURTHER
BRIEFING

15 On June 29, 2018, the Court entered an order granting summary judgment in favor of
16 defendant, the Boeing Company. Dkt. # 61. Plaintiff timely filed a motion seeking
17 reconsideration of three issues. Dkt. # 63. Motions for reconsideration are disfavored and will be
18 granted only upon a "showing of manifest error in the prior ruling" or "new facts or legal
19 authority which could not have been brought to [the Court's] attention earlier with reasonable
20 diligence." LCR 7(h)(1). For the first two issues, the Court concludes that plaintiff has failed to
21 meet that standard and, for those two issues, the motion is DENIED. The Court, however, will
22 reserve ruling on the third issue: whether, under the Washington Court of Appeals decision
23 Wheeler v. Catholic Archdiocese of Seattle, 65 Wn. App. 552 (1992), rev'd in part on other
24 grounds, 124 Wn.2d 634 (1994), Boeing's obligation to accommodate Pallies extended to
25 informing him of a crane scheduler position that became open after his termination; and if so,
26 whether that duty's existence merits reconsideration of the Court's decision to grant summary
27 judgment in favor of Boeing. See Dkt. # 63 at 8-9.
28

1 Pursuant to LCR 7(h)(3), Boeing will be given an opportunity to respond to the motion
2 for reconsideration. The Clerk of Court is directed to renote the motion, Dkt. # 63, on the
3 Court's calendar for September 28, 2018. Boeing's response is due on September 26, 2018, and
4 plaintiff's reply is due on the note date.

5 DATED this 17th day of Sept., 2018.

6
7 
8 Robert S. Lasnik
9 United States District Judge
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28